

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,952	07/24/2001	Duck Chul Hwang	1567.1015/MDS/JGM	3638
21171 75	90 07/07/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HA	LSEY LLP		WEINER,	LAURA S
SUITE 700	RK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1745	
•			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<b>X</b>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	<b>09/9</b> 10,952	HWANG ET AL.	7
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura S Weiner	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a receptly within the statutory minimum of third od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commi	, unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	' June 2004.	•	
<u>_</u>	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>2-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-7,18-21 and 25-</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>2-4,8-17,22-24,29,30, 31 and 32-4</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	<u>28</u> is/are withdrawn from con <u>0</u> is/are rejected.	sideration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·		404(.1)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	· -	•	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		formal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Art Unit: 1745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### **Election/Restrictions**

2. Claims 5-7, 18-21, 25-28, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 12-8-03.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 4, 8-9 and 11-13, 29-30, 32-33, 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claims 4, 11, 33 and 37, there is no support in the specification for the phrase "the first solvent is less than 30% by weight of the electrolyte". There is no support for less than 30% by weight. In claims 12, 32 and 36, there is no support in the specification for the phrase "the second solvent is more than 70% by weight of the electrolyte". There is no support for more than 70% by weight.

Art Unit: 1745

4. Claims 2-3, 10, 14-17, 22-24, 34-35, 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 2, 10 and 14, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "roughly between". This makes the claims vague and indefinite.

Claims 34 and 38 are rejected because it is unclear what "substantially 80% by weight" represents. This makes the claims vague and indefinite.

Claims 35 and 39 are rejected because it is unclear what "substantially 20% by weight" represents. This makes the claims vague and indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. Claims 4, 8-9, 11-13, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (JP 7-335254, abstract).

Yamamoto et al. teaches a nonaqueous secondary battery comprising an organic solvent containing ethylene carbonate, dimethyl carbonate and diethyl carbonate and LiPF6 as the salt. Yamamoto et al. teaches on page 5, Table 1 of the patent using EC:DMC:DEC for the electrolyte organic solvent in a volume ratio of 20:60:20 [26.42wt% of EC, the first solvent and 76 wt% of DMC+DEC, the second solvent]. Yamamoto et al. teaches therefore the first solvent less than 30 wt% and the second solvent between 60-80 wt%.

Art Unit: 1745

The preamble an electrolyte for a lithium-sulfur battery has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 10, 22-24 and 31 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1745

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> L∕aura S Weiner **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1745

June 29, 2004